



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/547,061	04/07/2000	Lars S. Carlson	07402/039001	3231
75	90 02/11/2002			
Fish & Richardson P C Suite 500 4350 La Jolla Village Drive			EXAMINER	
			CRUZ, LOURDES C	
San Diego, CA	92122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Advisory Action	09/547,061	CARLSON ET AL.				
. Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lourdes C. Cruz	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ I 36(a) and the appropriate exitee. The appropriate exithe final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	$t(s)\;a)oxtimes$ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided below	o)□ will be entered ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5 and 6</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 7-13</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-17.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	E. GRAYBILL					
DAVID PRIMAF _\U\L	E. GHATBILL BY EXAMINER					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See that insulating island 30 is interposed between bumps 14, therefore preventing cross contamination of silver from the bump in the right to the pad in the left and so on. The claims do not provide with language that recites absence of such island between bumps underneaath the center part of the flip chip.